

*Magnon kinematics in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$
Yang-Mills[†]*

Rafael Hernández, IFT-Madrid

† Collaboration with N. Beisert, C. Gómez and E. López

Outline

- Introduction
- Integrability in the AdS/CFT correspondence
- The quantum string Bethe ansatz
- Symmetries of the scattering matrix
 - Crossing symmetry and the dressing phase factor
 - Quantum-deformed magnon kinematics
 - Co-multiplication rules
- Conclusions

Introduction

The AdS/CFT correspondence:

The large N limit of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang-Mills is dual to type IIB string theory on $AdS_5 \times S^5 \Rightarrow$ Spectra of both theories should agree

\rightarrow Difficult to test, because the correspondence is a strong/weak coupling duality: we can not use perturbation theory on both sides

String energies expanded at large λ

$$E(\lambda) = \lambda^{1/4} E_0 + \lambda^{-1/4} E_1 + \lambda^{-3/4} E_2 + \dots$$

Scaling dimensions of gauge operators at small λ

$$\Delta(\lambda) = D_0 + \lambda D_1 + \lambda^2 D_2 + \dots$$

$$E(\lambda) \leftrightarrow \Delta(\lambda)$$

\rightarrow **Integrability:** S_{string} should interpolate to S_{gauge}

Integrability in the AdS/CFT correspondence

A complete formulation of the AdS/CFT correspondence \Rightarrow Precise identification of **string states** with local **gauge invariant operators**

$$\Rightarrow E\sqrt{\alpha'} = \Delta$$

Strong evidence in the supergravity regime, $R^2 \gg \alpha'$ ($R^4 = 4\pi g_{YM}^2 N \alpha'^2$)

- Difficulties:**
- String quantization in $AdS_5 \times S^5$
 - Obtaining the whole spectrum of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ is involved

An insight: [Berenstein, Maldacena, Nastase]



Operators carrying **large charges**, $\text{tr}(X_1^J \dots), J \gg 1$

Verifying AdS/CFT in **large** spin sectors \Rightarrow Computation of the anomalous dimensions of **large operators**

(Difficult problem due to **operator mixing**)

Insightful solution:

- \rightarrow The **one-loop planar dilatation operator** of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang-Mills leads to an integrable spin chain ($SO(6)$ in the scalar sector [Minahan,Zarembo] or $PSU(2,2|4)$ in the complete theory [Beisert,Staudacher]) (Recall Lipatov's work in QCD)

Single trace operators can be mapped to states in a closed spin chain
 \Rightarrow **BMN impurities: magnon excitations**

$$\text{tr}(XXXYYX\dots) \leftrightarrow |\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\dots\rangle$$

The Bethe ansatz

→ The rapidities u_j parameterizing the momenta of the magnons satisfy a set of **one-loop Bethe equations**

$$e^{ip_j J} \equiv \left(\frac{u_j + i/2}{u_j - i/2} \right)^J = \prod_{k \neq j}^M \frac{u_j - u_k + i}{u_j - u_k - i} \equiv \prod_{k \neq j}^M S(u_j, u_k)$$

Thermodynamic limit: **integral equations**

→ **Assuming integrability** an **asymptotic long-range Bethe ansatz** has been proposed [Beisert, Dippel, Staudacher]

$$\left(\frac{x_j^+}{x_j^-} \right)^J = \prod_{k \neq j}^M \frac{u_j - u_k + i}{u_j - u_k - i} = \prod_{k \neq j}^M \frac{x_j^+ - x_k^-}{x_j^- - x_k^+} \frac{1 - \lambda/16\pi^2 x_j^+ x_k^-}{1 - \lambda/16\pi^2 x_j^- x_k^+}$$

where x_j^\pm are generalized rapidities

$$x_j^\pm \equiv x(u_j \pm i/2), \quad x(u) \equiv \frac{u}{2} + \frac{u}{2} \sqrt{1 - 2 \frac{\lambda}{8\pi^2} \frac{1}{u^2}}$$

The quantum string Bethe ansatz

String non-linear sigma model on the coset

$$\frac{PSU(2, 2|4)}{SO(4, 1) \times SO(5)}$$

Integrable

[Mandal, Suryanarayana, Wadia] [Bena, Polchinski, Roiban]

⇒ Classical solutions of the sigma model are parameterized by an integral equation [Kazakov, Marshakov, Minahan, Zarembo]

$$-\frac{x}{x^2 - \frac{\lambda}{16\pi^2 J^2}} \frac{\Delta}{J} + 2\pi k = 2\mathcal{P} \int_{\mathcal{C}} dx' \frac{\rho(x')}{x - x'} \quad x \in \mathcal{C}$$

Reminds of the **thermodynamic Bethe equations** for the spin chain ...

The previous string integral equations are
classical/thermodynamic equations



Assuming integrability survives at the quantum level, a discretization would provide a **quantum string Bethe ansatz** [Arutyunov,Frolov,Staudacher]

The **quantum string Bethe ansatz** is [Arutyunov,Frolov,Staudacher]

$$\left(\frac{x_j^+}{x_j^-} \right)^J = \prod_{k \neq j}^M \frac{x_j^+ - x_k^-}{x_j^- - x_k^+} \frac{1 - \lambda/16\pi^2 x_j^+ x_k^-}{1 - \lambda/16\pi^2 x_j^- x_k^+} e^{2i\theta(x_j, x_k)}$$

The string and gauge theory ansätze **differ by a dressing phase factor!!**

The phase factor is given by

$$\theta_{12} = 2 \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} c_r(\lambda) \left(q_r(x_1) q_{r+1}(x_2) - q_{r+1}(x_1) q_r(x_2) \right)$$

($q_r(p_i)$ are the conserved magnon charges

$$q_r(x^\pm) = \frac{i}{r-1} \left(\frac{1}{(x^+)^{r-1}} - \frac{1}{(x^-)^{r-1}} \right))$$

→ **The dressing phase coefficients $c_r(\lambda)$ should interpolate from the string to the gauge theory (strong/weak-interpolation)**



Explicit form of $c_r(\lambda)$...

To constrain **the string Bethe ansatz** and find the structure of the dressing phase we can compare with **one-loop corrections** to semiclassical strings



The classical limit $c_r(\infty) = 1$ needs to be modified in order to include quantum corrections to the string

Symmetries of the scattering matrix

One-loop corrections to semiclassical strings

- **One-loop corrections** are obtained from the spectrum of **quadratic fluctuations** around a classical solution [Frolov,Tseytlin] [Frolov,Park,Tseytlin]
- They amount to empirical constraints on the string Bethe ansatz
- Careful analysis of the one-loop sums over bosonic and fermionic frequencies [Schäfer-Nameki,Zamaklar,Zarembo] [Beisert,Tseytlin] [RH,López] [Freyhult,Kristjansen] provides a compact form of the **first quantum correction**

[RH,López] [Gromov,Vieira]

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_{r,s} &= \delta_{r+1,s} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} a_{r,s} \\
 a_{r,s} &= -8 \frac{(r-1)(s-1)}{(r+s-2)(s-r)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Crossing symmetry and the dressing phase factor

Crossing symmetry

The structure of the complete S-matrix is [Beisert]

$$S_{12} = S_{12}^0 [S_{12}^{SU(2|2)} S_{12}^{SU(2|2)'}]$$

- Term in the bracket: determined by the **symmetries** (Yang-Baxter)
- The scalar coefficient is the dressing factor: constrained by unitarity and crossing (\rightarrow **dynamics**) [Janik], which implies

$$\theta(x_1^\pm, x_2^\pm) + \theta(1/x_1^\pm, x_2^\pm) = -2i \log h(x_1^\pm, x_2^\pm)$$

with

$$h(x_1, x_2) = \frac{x_2^-}{x_2^+} \frac{x_1^- - x_2^+}{x_1^+ - x_2^+} \frac{1 - 1/x_1^- x_2^-}{1 - 1/x_1^+ x_2^-}$$

An expansion of both sides has been shown to agree, using the explicit form of the one-loop correction in $\theta(x_1, x_2)$ [Arutyunov, Frolov]

Higher corrections

Idea: Search for coefficients to fit the expansion of the crossing function $h(x_1, x_2)$

This provides a **strong-coupling expansion** [Beisert,RH,López]

$$c_{r,s} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{r,s}^{(n)} g^{1-n}$$

for the coefficients in the dressing phase ($g \equiv \sqrt{\lambda}/4\pi$)

The **all-order** proposal is

$$c_{r,s}^{(n)} = (r-1)(s-1) B_n \mathcal{A}(r, s, n)$$

with

$$\mathcal{A}(r, s, n) = \frac{((-1)^{r+s} - 1)}{4 \cos(\frac{1}{2}\pi n) \Gamma[n+1] \Gamma[n-1]} \times \frac{\Gamma[\frac{1}{2}(s+r+n-3)] \Gamma[\frac{1}{2}(s-r+n-1)]}{\Gamma[\frac{1}{2}(s+r-n+1)] \Gamma[\frac{1}{2}(s-r-n+3)]}$$

- Includes the **classical** and **one-loop** terms
- An expansion dressed with the Bernoulli numbers
- The **one-loop contribution** alone satisfies part of the crossing relation (odd crossing)
- The remaining piece of the crossing condition is satisfied by the **n -loop contribution**, with n even

(The **solution** is however **not unique**: it is possible to include additional **homogeneous solutions** to the crossing constraints)

- The phase shows **agreement with perturbative string theory** (semiclassical scattering of giant magnons [Hofman,Maldacena])

Weak-coupling expansion

→ The previous (strong-coupling) asymptotic expansion

$$c_{r,s} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{r,s}^{(n)} g^{1-n}$$

agrees with the string theory regime

→ The **weak-coupling regime** is constrained by perturbative computations:

- Up to three-loops the phase $\theta(x_1, x_2)$ should remain zero
- A recent **four-loop** computation requires a **first non-vanishing piece** in the dressing phase [Bern,Czakon,Dixon,Kosower,Smirnov]

→ The four-loop result can be recovered from a **long-range Bethe ansatz computation** [Beisert,Eden,Staudacher] (See also [Benna,Benvenuti,Klebanov, Sardicchio] [Alday,Arutyunov,Benna,Eden,Klebanov] [Beccaria,DeAngelis,Forini] [Kotikov, Lipatov, Rej, Staudacher, Velizhanin]...)

Quantum-deformed magnon kinematics

The previous successful interpolation from the strong to the weak-coupling regime relies strongly on the long-range Bethe ansatz of [Beisert,Dippel,Staudacher]

We will now try to address two questions

- What is the magnon kinematics underlying the long-range ansatz?
- Is the gauge theory (the correspondence) really integrable?

Clarifying the **features of magnon kinematics** is indeed of great importance



- In $1 + 1$ relativistic theories physical conditions are used to constrain the S-matrix: **unitarity, bootstrap principle, crossing symmetry**
- The remaining traditional condition is **Lorentz covariance** \Rightarrow Forces dependence on the difference of rapidities

Let us briefly recall the way the long-range Bethe ansatz is constructed

→ The (one-loop) Heisenberg chain has dispersion relation

$$E = 4 \sin^2 \left(\frac{p}{2} \right)$$

→ The Bethe ansatz can be **deformed** to include the magnon dispersion relation for planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang-Mills,

$$E^2 = 1 + \frac{\lambda}{\pi^2} \sin^2 \left(\frac{p}{2} \right)$$

The extension/deformation is the long-range Bethe ansatz

[Beisert, Dippel, Staudacher]

We will now try to uncover the **magnon kinematics**
underlying planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang-Mills

→ In a 1 + 1-dimensional relativistic theory particles transform in irreps of the Poincaré algebra, $E(1, 1)$,

$$[J, P] = E, \quad [J, E] = P, \quad [E, P] = 0$$

An irrep is specified by a value of the Casimir operator

$$m^2 = E^2 - p^2$$

→ The dispersion relation in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ is a deformation of the usual relativistic relation \Rightarrow **There is an algebra whose Casimir has the adequate form!!**



It is a quantum deformation of the 1 + 1 Poincaré algebra, $E_q(1, 1)$

[Gómez,RH] [Young]

$E_q(1, 1)$ is the algebra

$$\begin{aligned} KEK^{-1} &= E, & KJK^{-1} &= J + iaE, \\ KK^{-1} &= \mathbb{1}, & JE - EJ &= \frac{K - K^{-1}}{2ia} \end{aligned}$$

with **deformation parameter** $q = e^{ia}$ and $K = e^{iaP}$ (the limit $a \rightarrow 0$ corresponds to the usual Poincaré algebra)

Furthermore, the boost generator J can be used to introduce a uniformizing rapidity through $J = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$. Then the algebra implies

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} = \sqrt{1 + \frac{\lambda}{\pi^2} \sin^2\left(\frac{p}{2}\right)}$$

which provides a **elliptic uniformization** in terms of Jacobi functions

[Janik] [Beisert] [RH,Gómez] [Kostov,Serban,Volin]

$$\sin\left(\frac{p}{2}\right) = k' \operatorname{sd}(z), \quad E(z) = \frac{1}{2 \operatorname{dn}(z)}$$

Semi-continuum limit of the Ising model

The quantum-deformed Poincaré, or the dispersion relation in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang-Mills, can in fact be obtained from the Ising model

→ The lattice spacings a_x and a_t can be mapped to the Ising couplings K and L (* stands for the Kramers-Wannier dual)

$$\sinh 2L \sinh 2K^* = \left(\frac{a_x}{a_t} \right)^2, \quad 2 \sinh(L - K^*) = \mu a_x$$

→ Define $\gamma \equiv pa_x$, $\omega \equiv Ea_t$. Then **Onsager's relation** becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \cosh \gamma &= \cosh 2L \cosh 2K^* - \sinh 2L \sinh 2K^* \cos \omega \\ &\quad \downarrow \\ a_t^2 (\cosh pa_x - 1) + a_x^2 (\cos Ea_t - 1) &= \frac{1}{2} \mu^2 a_t^2 a_x^2 \end{aligned}$$

→ In the continuum limit $a_x, a_t \rightarrow 0$ we get $p^2 + E^2 = \mu^2$

The **semi-continuum limit** $a_t \rightarrow 0$ leads to the dispersion relation in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang-Mills (after analytical continuation of p and the introduction of an effective scale through a_x)

In fact, the uniformization in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ is the same as that in the Ising model (cf [Baxter])

The Boltzmann weights are indeed made out from x^\pm

$$x^\pm = e^{2L} e^{\mp 2K}$$

(map by [Kostov, Serban, Volin])

and **integrability from the star-triangle relation** implies Beisert's algebraic constraint [Beisert]

$$x^+ + \frac{1}{x^+} - x^- - \frac{1}{x^-} = \frac{1}{4jg}$$

Co-multiplication rules

Central Hopf subalgebra

The S-matrix can be determined explicitly

[Beisert]



The spin chain vacuum breaks the $PSU(2, 2|4)$ symmetry algebra down to $(PSU(2|2) \times PSU(2|2)') \ltimes \mathbb{R}$, with \mathbb{R} a shared central charge

The $PSU(2|2) \ltimes \mathbb{R}$ algebra is generated by bosonic generators R_a^b and \mathcal{L}_β^α , and supersymmetry generators Q_b^α and G_β^a

$$\{Q_a^\alpha, G_\beta^b\} = \delta_a^b \mathcal{L}_\beta^\alpha + \delta_\beta^\alpha R_a^b + \delta_a^b \delta_\beta^\alpha c$$

The algebra can be extended with two central charges \mathfrak{P} and \mathfrak{K}
(with eigenvalues P and K)

[Beisert]

$$\{Q_a^\alpha, Q_b^\beta\} = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \epsilon_{ab} \mathfrak{P}, \quad \{G_\alpha^a, G_\beta^b\} = \epsilon^{ab} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \mathfrak{K}.$$

They define an action on multiple magnon states with a non-trivial
co-multiplication (once a new element \mathfrak{R} , with eigenvalue e^{iP} , is
introduced)

(cf the **dynamic–non local representation** in [Beisert])

[Gómez,RH] [Plefka,Spill,Torrielli]

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \mathfrak{P} &= \mathfrak{P} \otimes \mathfrak{R} + \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathfrak{P}, \\ \Delta \mathfrak{K} &= \mathfrak{K} \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathfrak{R}^{-1} \otimes \mathfrak{K}, \\ \Delta \mathfrak{R} &= \mathfrak{R} \otimes \mathfrak{R} \end{aligned}$$

Construction of the S-matrix

In order to construct the S-matrix, **conservation** along a scattering process **of the central charges** is required

[Beisert]

$$\hat{P}_1 + \hat{P}_2 = \hat{P}'_1 + \hat{P}'_2, \quad \hat{K}_1 + \hat{K}_2 = \hat{K}'_1 + \hat{K}'_2, \quad \hat{P}_i \hat{K}_i = \hat{P}'_i \hat{K}'_i$$

After bootstrap, the only non-trivial solution is

$$\hat{P}_1 = P_1, \quad \hat{P}_2 = \hat{P}_2 z_1, \quad \hat{P}'_1 = P_2, \quad \hat{P}'_2 = \hat{P}_1 z_2$$

with $P_i = \alpha(1 - e^{i p_i})$, $z_i = e^{i p_i}$ (\rightarrow **non-local**)

\rightarrow **Non-trivial** co-multiplication rule, $\hat{\Delta} = \hat{\mathfrak{P}}_1 + \hat{\mathfrak{P}}_2 = \mathfrak{P}_1 + \mathfrak{P}_2 z_1$

(Identically for $\hat{\mathfrak{K}}_i$)

Now we **label different irreps** through

$$\xi_1 : (\hat{P}_1, \hat{K}_1, \hat{C}_1)$$

$$\xi_2 : (\hat{P}_2 z_1, \hat{K}_1 z_1^{-1}, \hat{C}_2)$$

$$\xi'_1 : (\hat{P}_1 z_2, \hat{K}_1 z_2^{-1}, \hat{C}_1)$$

$$\xi'_2 : (\hat{P}_2, \hat{K}_2, \hat{C}_2)$$

and fix the S-matrix by

[Beisert]

$$S \Delta_{\xi_1, \xi_2}(a) = \Delta_{\xi'_1, \xi'_2}(a) S$$

with

$$\Delta_{\xi_1, \xi_2}(a) = \pi_{\xi_1} \otimes \pi_{\xi_2} \Delta(a) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta(a) = a \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes a$$

→ **Different irreps, and trivial co-multiplication rules**

An intertwiner between irreps

Given a Hopf algebra \mathcal{A} with elements a , and **non-trivial** Δ
 ($\Rightarrow \Delta \neq \Delta'$, with Δ' the permutation),
 the **R -matrix is the intertwiner**

$$R\Delta(a) = \Delta'(a)R$$

or in terms of irreps

$$R_{\xi_1\xi_2} \pi_{\xi_1} \otimes \pi_{\xi_2} \Delta(a) = \pi_{\xi_2} \otimes \pi_{\xi_1} \Delta(a) R_{\xi_1\xi_2}$$

$$(R_{\xi_1\xi_2} : V_{\xi_1} \otimes V_{\xi_2} \rightarrow V_{\xi_2} \otimes V_{\xi_1})$$

We may now wonder how to construct a **Hopf algebra S-matrix** in
 planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang-Mills ...

Given non-trivial $\hat{\Delta}(\mathfrak{P})$ and $\hat{\Delta}(\mathfrak{K})$,

→ Lift $\hat{\Delta}(\mathfrak{P})$ and $\hat{\Delta}(\mathfrak{K})$ to the whole algebra

→ Look for S such that

$$S\hat{\Delta}_{\tilde{\xi}_1\tilde{\xi}_2}(a) = \hat{\Delta}_{\xi_2\xi_1}(a)S$$

with **same irreps in |in⟩ and |out⟩ but non-trivial Δ**

We can now compare with

[Beisert]

$$S\Delta_{\xi_1\xi_2}(a) = \Delta_{\xi_1'\xi_2'}(a)S$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Equivalent if } \hat{\Delta}_{\tilde{\xi}_1\tilde{\xi}_2}(a) = \Delta_{\xi_1\xi_2}(a)$$

True in the central subalgebra, but not true in general!!

Quantum-deformed Poincaré algebra and strong-coupling expansion

If we define

[Klose, McLoughlin, Roiban, Zarembo]

$$p \equiv \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}} P_{\text{string}}$$

the deformation in quantum Poincaré

[Gómez, RH] [Young]

$$q = e^{ia} = q^{i \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}}$$

and the momentum generator in the central subalgebra described above
are given by

$$K = e^{ip} = e^{iaP_{\text{string}}} = q^{P_{\text{string}}}$$

Conclusions

- Testing AdS/CFT in large spin sectors \Rightarrow Integrability in the planar limit of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang-Mills: Precision tests of the correspondence
- Quantum corrections constrain the string Bethe ansatz
 - Simple form of the first correction
 - A crossing-symmetric phase has been suggested to higher orders
- A proof of the the AdS/CFT correspondence requires identification of spectra, together with interpolation as the coupling evolves
 - The dressing factor interpolates from the string to the gauge theory, and strong to weak-coupling

$$S_{st}(p_j, p_k) = e^{i\theta(p_j, p_k)} S_g(p_j, p_k)$$

- The quantum-deformed plane of magnon kinematics in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang-Mills has been identified

Open questions

- **Algebraic origin** of the structure of the dressing phase factor



Underlying quantum group symmetry pattern organizing
the gauge coupling evolution

[Gómez,RH] [Plefka,Spill,Torrielli] [Arutyunov,Frolov,Plefka,Zamaklar] [Klose,McLoughlin,Roiban,Zarembo]
[Torrielli] [Beisert] [Moriyama,Torrielli] ...

- Is the AdS/CFT correspondence really **integrable**?



What is the **origin** and **meaning** of integrability in the
correspondence?