Thermal destruction of chiral order in a two-dimensional model of coupled trihedra
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We introduce a minimal model describing the physics of classical two-dimensional (2D) frustrated Heisenberg systems, where spins order in a nonplanar way at $T=0$. This model, consisting of coupled trihedra (or Ising-\(S^3\) model), encompasses Ising (chiral) degrees of freedom, spin-wave excitations, and $Z_2$ vortices. Extensive Monte Carlo simulations show that the $T=0$ chiral order disappears at finite temperature in a continuous phase transition in the 2D Ising universality class, despite misleading intermediate-size effects observed at the transition. The analysis of configurations reveals that short-range spin fluctuations and $Z_2$ vortices proliferate near the chiral domain walls, explaining the strong renormalization of the transition temperature. Chiral domain walls can themselves carry an unlocalized $Z_2$ topological charge, and vortices are then preferentially paired with charged walls. Further, we conjecture that the anomalous size effects suggest the proximity of the present model to a tricritical point. A body of results is presented, which all support this claim: (i) first-order transitions obtained by Monte Carlo simulations on several related models, (ii) approximate mapping between the Ising-\(S^3\) model and a dilute Ising model (exhibiting a tricritical point), and, finally, (iii) mean-field results obtained for Ising-multispin Hamiltonians, derived from the high-temperature expansion for the vector spins of the Ising-\(R^3\) model.
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I. INTRODUCTION

On bipartite lattices, the energy of the classical Heisenberg antiferromagnet, as well as that of the XY antiferromagnet, is minimized by collinear spin configurations. Any two such ground states can be continuously transformed into one another by a global spin rotation. By contrast, it is quite common that the ground-state manifold of frustrated magnets comprises several connected components, with respect to global spin rotations, that transform into one another under discrete symmetry only. Examples include the fully frustrated XY model of Villain, the $J_1$-$J_2$ Heisenberg model on the square lattice, the $J$-$K_4$ model on the triangular lattice, the $J_1$-$J_2$ model on the square lattice, and the $J_1$-$J_2$ model on the kagome lattice.

The Mermin-Wagner theorem forbids the spontaneous breakdown of continuous symmetries, such as spin rotations, at any $T>0$ in two dimensions. However, as was first noticed by Villain, the breakdown of the discrete symmetries relating the different connected components of the ground-state manifold may indeed give rise to finite-temperature phase transition. Such transitions have been evidenced numerically in a number of frustrated systems, with either XY (Ref. 9) or Heisenberg spins.

We are interested in a particular class of models with Heisenberg spins, where the ground state has nonplanar long-range order. In this case the ground state is labeled by an $O(3)$ matrix. Hence the ground-state manifold is $O(3)=SO(3)\times Z_2$ which breaks down into two copies of $SO(3)$. The two connected components, say 1 and 2, are exchanged by a global spin inversion ($\vec{S}_i \rightarrow -\vec{S}_i$) and may be labeled by opposite scalar chiralities $\vec{S}_i \cdot (\vec{S}_i \times \vec{S}_j)$. Hence we introduce a local Ising variable $\sigma(\vec{r})=\pm 1$, which measures whether the spins around $\vec{r}$ have the chirality of sector 1 or 2. At $T=0$ the chiralities $\sigma(\vec{r})$ are long-range ordered and the ground state belongs to a given sector. On the other hand, at high enough temperature the system is fully disordered. Hence, on very general grounds we expect the spontaneous breakdown of the spin inversion symmetry, associated with $\langle \sigma(\vec{r}) \rangle \neq 0$, at some intermediate temperature.

Further, from the standpoint of Landau-Ginzburg theory, one anticipates a critical transition in the two-dimensional (2D) Ising universality class. However, of the two relevant models studied so far, none shows the signature of an Ising transition. Instead, as was pointed out by some of us in Ref. 7, the existence of underlying (continuous) spin degrees of freedom complicates the naive Ising scenario and actually drives the chiral transition toward first order.

To get a better sense of this interplay between discrete and continuous degrees of freedom, it is useful to remember that in two dimensions, although spin waves disorder the spins at any $T>0$, the spin-spin correlation length may be huge [$\xi \sim \exp(A/T)$] at low temperature, especially in frustrated systems. Hence, it is likely that the effective spin-wave mediated interaction between the emergent Ising degrees of freedom extends significantly beyond one lattice spacing, even at finite temperature and in two dimensions.

Further, we point out that the excitations built on the continuous degrees of freedom are not necessarily limited to spin waves. To be more specific, if the connected components of the ground-state manifold are not simply connected, as is the case for $SO(3)$, then there also exist defects in the spin textures. Here, $\Pi_1(SO(3))=Z_2$ implies that $Z_2$ point defects (vortices in two dimensions) are topologically stable.
Clearly these additional excitations may also affect the nature of the transition associated with the Ising degrees of freedom. In fact, it was shown in one example\textsuperscript{7} that the first-order chiral transition is triggered by the proliferation of these defects.

In this paper we aim at clarifying the nature of the interplay between the different types of excitations found in Heisenberg systems with nonplanar long-range order at $T=0$. Note that the associated unit cell is typically quite large, which severely limits the sample sizes amenable to simulations. Hence, we introduce a minimal model with the same physical content as those of the frustrated models studied in Refs. 4, 6, and 7.

As was already mentioned, in the above frustrated spin systems, the spin configuration at $T=0$ is entirely described by an O(3) matrix or, equivalently, a trihedron in spin space. At low temperature, the spin long-range order is wiped out by long-wavelength spin waves. However from the considerations above, we anticipate that at low enough temperatures, the description in terms of trihedra in spin space still makes sense, at least locally. To be more specific, we assign three unit vectors $\mathbf{S}_i^a (a=1, 2, 3)$ to every site $i$ of the square lattice, subject to the orthogonality constraint

$$\mathbf{S}_i^a \cdot \mathbf{S}_i^b = \delta^{ab},$$

and we assume the following interaction energy:

$$E = - \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{(i,j)} \mathbf{S}_i^a \cdot \mathbf{S}_j^a.$$ \hspace{2cm} (2)

Hence we consider three ferromagnetic Heisenberg models, tightly coupled through rigid constraint (1). At $T=0$, the energy is minimized by any configuration with all trihedra aligned, and the manifold of ground states is O(3), as desired. This alone ensures the existence of the three types of excitations: (i) SO(3) spin waves (corresponding to the rotation of the trihedra), (ii) SO(3) vortices, and (iii) Ising (chiral) degrees of freedom, corresponding to the right- or left-handedness of the trihedra.\textsuperscript{11}

The present study is devoted to the model defined by Eqs. (1) and (2). In Sec. II we reformulate this model more conveniently in terms of chiralities and four-dimensional (4D) vectors, yielding the so-called Ising-$RP^3$ model. For clarity we first consider a simplified version of this model where the chirality variables are frozen. With this setup we outline our method for detecting vortex cores, as well as analyzing their spatial distribution.

In Sec. III, we return to the full Ising-$RP^3$ model and evidence the order-disorder transition of the Ising variables at finite temperature. The nature of the transition is asserted by a thorough finite-size analysis using Monte Carlo simulations. To clarify the nature of the interplay between discrete and continuous degrees of freedom, we perform a microscopic analysis of typical configurations.

For the most part, the remainder of our work originates from the observation of peculiar intermediate-size effects at the transition. This leads us to argue that the present model lies close to a tricritical point in some parameter space.

To support our claim, we first introduce and perform Monte Carlo simulations on two modified versions of our model that: (i) preserve the O(3) manifold of ground states and (ii) lead to a first-order transition of the Ising variables. This is further elaborated on in Sec. IV, where we draw an analogy between the Ising-$RP^3$ model and the large $q$ Potts model. Another analogy, this time to a dilute Ising model, is drawn in Sec. V, where we argue that the regions of strong misalignment of the trihedra, near Ising domain walls, can be treated as “depletions” in the texture formed by the 4D vectors. Finally, in Sec. VI we take another route and trace out the continuous degrees of freedom perturbatively, resulting in an effective model for the Ising variables, which we proceed to study at the mean-field level.

\section*{II. BASICS OF THE MODEL}

\subsection*{A. Ising-$RP^3$ formulation}

The model defined by Eqs. (1) and (2) can be conveniently reformulated as an Ising model coupled to a four-component spin system with biquadratic interactions. Indeed, every trihedron is represented by an SO(3) matrix $M_i$ and a chirality $\sigma_i=\pm 1$:

$$\mathbf{S}_i^a = \sigma_i M_i^a = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{S}_i^a = \sigma_i M_i^a = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{S}_i^a = \sigma_i M_i^a = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$ \hspace{2cm} (3)

Using these variables, Eq. (2) reads

$$E = - \sum_{(i,j)} \sigma_i \sigma_j \text{Tr}[(M_i)^\dagger M_j].$$ \hspace{2cm} (4)

The isomorphism between SO(3) and SU(2)/{1,−1}, maps a rotation $M(\theta, \vec{n})$ of angle $\theta$ about the $\vec{n}$ axis onto the pair of SU(2) matrices $\pm \exp(i \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma})$, where the components of $\vec{\sigma}$ are the Pauli matrices. The latter can be written using two opposite 4D real vectors $\pm \vec{v} = \pm (v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3)$, with $\vec{v}^2 = 1$:

$$\exp\left(\frac{\theta}{2} \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} v_0 + iv_z & iv_z + v_y \\ iv_z - v_y & v_0 - iv_z \end{bmatrix},$$ \hspace{2cm} (5)

$$v_0 = \cos(\theta/2), \quad v_a = n_a \sin(\theta/2).$$ \hspace{2cm} (6)

Irrespective of the local (arbitrary) choice of representation $\pm \vec{v}$, one has

$$\text{Tr}[(M_i)^\dagger M_j] = 4(\vec{v}_i \cdot \vec{v}_j)^2 - 1,$$ \hspace{2cm} (7)

whence the energy reads

$$E = - \sum_{(i,j)} \sigma_i \sigma_j [4(\vec{v}_i \cdot \vec{v}_j)^2 - 1].$$ \hspace{2cm} (8)

\subsection*{B. $Z_2$ vortices in the fixed-chirality limit}

Here we first consider the simplified case where the Ising degrees of freedom are frozen to, say, $\sigma_i = +1$. In this limit we recover the so-called $RP^3$ model,\textsuperscript{12} which contains both spin waves and $Z_2$ vortices and describes interacting SO(3) matrices. Together with related frustrated spin models
(Heisenberg model on the triangular lattice, for instance), the $\mathbb{RP}^3$ model has been the central subject of a number of studies focusing on a putative binding-unbinding transition of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ vortices at finite temperature.\textsuperscript{13-24} This is a delicate and controversial issue, which is not essential to the present work. Instead, we merely discuss some properties of the vortex configurations that will be useful for comparison with the full $O(3)$ (or Ising-$\mathbb{RP}^3$) model.

To locate the topological point defects (vortex cores), we resort to the usual procedure: Consider a closed path $\mathcal{L}$ on the lattice, running through sites $i_0, \ldots, i_{n-1}, i_n = i_0$. $\mathcal{L}$ induces a loop $C_{\mathcal{L}}$ in the order-parameter space, defined by the matrices $M_{i_0}, \ldots, M_{i_{n-1}}, M_{i_n}$ (in this space the path between $M_{i_0}$ and $M_{i_n}$ is defined as the “shortest” one). The homotopy class of $C_{\mathcal{L}}$ is an element of $\Pi_1$. If it is the identity, then the $C_{\mathcal{L}}$ loop is contractible. Otherwise, $\mathcal{L}$ surrounds (at least) one topological defect.\textsuperscript{25}

In the $\mathbb{RP}^3$ model, the fundamental group is $\Pi_1(\text{SO}(3)) = \mathbb{Z}_2$, so that the topological charge can take only two values: The homotopy class of $C_{\mathcal{L}}$ corresponds to the parity of the number of point defects enclosed in $\mathcal{L}$ (Fig. 1). This is to be contrasted with the better-known SO(2) vortices, associated, for instance, with the XY model: The latter carries an integer charge $[\Pi_1(\text{SO}(2)) = \mathbb{Z}]$, while the former carries merely a sign.

The number of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ vortices of a given configuration is obtained by looking for vortex cores on each elementary plaquette of the lattice. The vorticity $\Omega(p)$ of a square plaquette $p$ is computed by mapping $\text{SO}(3)$ onto $\mathbb{RP}^3 = \mathbb{S}^3/\mathbb{Z}_2$: On every site $i$ we arbitrarily choose one of the two equivalent representations $\pm \vec{v}_i$ of the local SO(3) matrix and compute

$$\Omega(p) = \prod_{\square_p} \text{sgn}(\vec{v}_i \cdot \vec{v}_j),$$

where $i$ and $j$ are nearest neighbors and the product runs over the four edges of $\square_p$. The associated closed loop in SO(3) is noncontractible when the plaquette hosts a vortex core and is identified by $\Omega(p) = -1$. Note that $\Omega(p)$ is a “gauge-invariant” quantity; i.e., it is independent of the local choice of representation $\pm \vec{v}_i$, as it should be.

We performed a Monte Carlo simulation of the $\mathbb{RP}^3$ model using a Wang-Landau algorithm, detailed in the Appendix. In the upper panel of Fig. 2 we plot the vortex density $n_{\text{vortex}}$, defined as the number of plaquettes hosting a vortex core divided by the total number of plaquettes.

Vortices are seen to appear and the density increases upon increasing the temperature from $T = 1$. However, no critical behavior (scaling) is observed upon increasing the system size. The latter is also true of other simple thermodynamic quantities, such as the energy or the specific heat, although the latter is maximum when the increase in $n_{\text{vortex}}$ is steepest, at $T = 1.3$.\textsuperscript{26}

A typical configuration is shown in the lower panel of Fig. 2, at a temperature $T = 1.1$, about 20% lower than that of the maximum of the specific heat. Plaquettes hosting a vortex core are indicated by a (red) bullet. Note that $n_{\text{vortex}}$ is rather small at this temperature and that all vortices are paired except for two, evidencing the strong binding of the vortices.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig1}
\caption{(Color online) SO(3) can be represented by a ball of radius $\pi$. A rotation of angle $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ around the $\vec{n}$ axis is represented in this ball by the extremity of the vector $\vec{\theta} \vec{n}$. Two opposite points of the surface (joined by a dashed line) represent the same rotation. A loop with an odd number of crossings, such as $ABFEA$ or $CDEFC$, cannot be continuously shrunk to a point. This is not the case when the number of crossings is even: (a) $ABCD$ crosses the surface both at $(AB)$ and $(CD)$. (b) It can be continuously deformed to collapse points $B$ on $C$ and $A$ on $D$. (d) $AD$ and $BC$ become contractible closed loops, which collapse on identical points.}
\end{figure}
III. NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS OF THE ISING-$RP^3$ MODEL

We now return to the full Ising-$RP^3$ model, defined in Eq. (8). We report results of Monte Carlo simulations, using the Wang-Landau algorithm described in the Appendix, for linear sizes up to $L=88$ with periodic boundary conditions.

A. Specific heat and energy distribution

Once the Ising degrees of freedom are relaxed, the maximum of the specific heat diverges with the system size, indicating a phase transition. Further, the scaling as $\log(L)$ (Fig. 3) for the largest $L$ suggests a continuous transition in the Ising 2D universality class.

To ascertain the continuous nature of the transition, we computed the probability distribution $P(E,T=T_c)$ of the energy per site, obtained from the density of states $g(E)$ (Fig. 4). Here $T_c(L)$ is defined as the temperature at which the specific heat is maximum. Rather surprisingly, from small to intermediate lattice sizes, $P(E,T=T_c)$ shows the bimodal structure characteristic of a first-order transition. However, this feature disappears smoothly upon increasing the system size, and a single peak finally emerges for $L\geq 72$.

Hence we claim that the phase transition is continuous indeed in the Ising 2D universality class, although the scaling and energy distribution at moderate sizes is misleading. This peculiar finite-size behavior evidences a large but finite length scale whose exact nature has not been elucidated so far. We conjecture that it may be related to the proximity, in some parameter space, to a tricritical point where the transition becomes discontinuous. Further arguments in support to this claim will be provided in Sec. III E and Sec. IV and V.

B. Ising order parameter

The ordering of the chirality variables $\sigma_i$ is probed by the following Ising order parameter:

\begin{equation}
\langle \mathbf{E} \rangle = \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_{i=1}^{L^2} \sum_{j=1}^{L^2} (\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_j)
\end{equation}

FIG. 2. (Color online) Uniform frozen chirality model (see Sec. II B). Top: Vortex density $n^2$ versus temperature $T$ from $L=16$ to $L=64$, from bottom to top. Bottom: Thermalized configuration at $T=1.1$ on a system of size $L=72$ (only a $45 \times 45$ square snippet is shown). Square plaquettes carrying a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ vortex core are denoted by a (red) bullet. The width of each bond $(i,j)$ is proportional to $B_{ij} = 1 - (\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_j)^2$ (by slices of 1/8). Bonds with $B_{ij} \leq 1/8$ are not drawn.

FIG. 3. (Color online) Maximum value of the specific heat as a function of system size $L$. The scaling at large $L$ is correctly reproduced by the affine logarithmic fit $A + B \ln(L)$ (straight line). Inset: Specific heat $C_v$ versus temperature $T$ for $L=64$ and 80 from right to left.

FIG. 4. (Color online) Probability distribution $P(E,T_c)$ of the energy per site at $T_c(L)$ for increasing linear $L$ from $L=16$ to $L=88$, from bottom to top. $T_c(L)$ is the temperature at which $C_v$ is maximum. A double peak is visible for $L \leq 60$ and disappears for $L > 72$. 
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\[ \sigma = \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum \sigma_i \right), \]  

(10)

where \( N \) is the number of sites. With the results above in mind, we analyze the finite-size effects on the chirality using the scaling law \( L^B \sigma = f(L^{1/v}(T/T_c)) \), with \( B = 1/8 \) and \( v = 1 \). Figure 5(a) shows data collapse of the Ising order parameter for \( 40 \leq L \leq 88 \), in agreement with the 2D Ising critical scenario \((B = 1/8 \text{ and } v = 1)\). Figure 5(b) shows the scaling of the maximum of the Ising susceptibility \( \chi \) versus \( L \). The slope \( 1/v = 1.76 \pm 0.02 \) is very close to the expected value of 7/4. We also plot the temperature \( T_c(L) \) of the maximum of the specific heat at size \( L \) [Fig. 5(c)], showing the expected asymptotic scaling \( T_c(L) \sim T_c + A/L^{1/v} \), with \( v = 1 \). Finally, we computed the fourth-order Binder cumulant

\[ B(L, T) = 1 - \frac{1}{3} \frac{\langle \sigma^4 \rangle}{\langle \sigma^2 \rangle^2}, \]

(11)

which shows a characteristic \( L \)-independent crossing at \( T_c \approx 0.97 \) [Fig. 5(d)]. Note however that the value of the cumulant at the crossing \( B(L = 72, T_{\text{crossing}}) \approx 0.65 \) remains larger than the universal value of the 2D Ising model \((0.6107)\). This discrepancy possibly originates from the fact that \( L \) is not significantly larger than the crossover length scale beyond which the two peaks in \( P(E, T_c) \) merge (Sec. III A), making it uneasy to obtain a reliable estimate of the fourth moment of the distribution of chiralities. We also point out that similar discrepancies were observed in the simulation of other emergent Ising systems with continuous degrees of freedom.39

C. \( Z_2 \) vortices in the Ising-\( \mathrm{RP}^3 \) model

We now turn to the identification of \( Z_2 \) vortices in the model defined in Eq. (8). The better-known case of an \( \mathrm{SO}(3) \) ground-state manifold (ferromagnetically frozen chiralities) was detailed in Sec. II B. Once the chiral degrees of freedom are relaxed, the ground-state manifold is enlarged to \( \mathrm{O}(3) = Z_2 \times \mathrm{SO}(3) \) and the definition of vorticity enclosed in lattice loops requires some caution. Let us consider two sites \( i \) and \( j \) and their associated elements of \( \mathrm{O}(3) \), \( M_i \) and \( M_j \). As long as \( M_i \) and \( M_j \) have the same chirality, no extra difficulty arises. However, if they have opposite chiralities (determinants), then the mere existence of a continuous path connecting the two elements in \( \mathrm{SO}(3) \) is ill posed, since they each belong to a different \( \mathrm{SO}(3) \) sector of \( \mathrm{O}(3) \). Hence the computation of the circulation \( \Omega(L) \) makes sense only for loops \( L \) enclosed in a domain of uniform chirality. In particular, the computation of the \( Z_2 \) vorticity on plaquettes located in the bulk of uniform domains follows the lines detailed in Sec. II B without modification.

The case of nonuniform plaquettes, sitting on a chiral domain wall, may be addressed in an indirect way. We consider a closed loop \( L \) that: (i) visits only sites with chirality \( \sigma_i = +1 \) and (ii) encloses a domain of opposite chirality \( \sigma_i = -1 \). (i) ensures that \( \Omega(L) \) is well defined. On the other hand, one can always define unambiguously \( N_\epsilon(L) \), the number of vortex cores on uniform plaquettes inside \( L \). If the chirality were uniform inside \( L \), then \( \Omega(L) = (-1)^{N_\epsilon(L)} \) would hold. However, when \( L \) encloses a domain with reversed chirality, an extra contribution arises on the right-hand side, coming from the nonuniform plaquettes sitting on the domain wall, which are not accounted for by \( (-1)^{N_\epsilon(L)} \). Since \( \Omega(L) = \pm 1 \), we obtain \( \Omega(L) = \epsilon_\omega (-1)^{N_\epsilon(L)} \), where \( \epsilon_\omega = \pm 1 \) acts as the to-
polological charge of the chiral domain wall. As a result, the present workaround yields the total $Z_2$ charge carried by the chiral domain wall, which is always well defined.

Figure 6(a) shows a typical configuration near $T_c$. Again, vortices in the bulk of Ising domains are indicated by (red) bullets. A thorough study of typical configurations reveals that most of these vortex cores are actually “paired” with a nearby charged domain wall [not represented in Fig. 6(a)]. Once the charge of the walls is appropriately accounted for, the total measured charge is 1 indeed. Note that such vortex/charged-wall pairs feature two $Z_2$ charges but involve the creation of only one vortex core. Hence they are energetically favored compared to genuine pairs of $Z_2$ vortices in the bulk of chiral domains. This is evidenced, for instance, by the proliferation of vortices at lower temperatures in the full Ising-$RP^3$ model than in the $RP^3$ model [compare the upper panel of Fig. 2 with Fig. 7(b)].

To quantify the pairing effect of $Z_2$ vortices with charged walls, we impose a domain wall by splitting the system into two parts with frozen but opposite chiralities (periodic boundary conditions are used). Figure 7(a) shows the excess density of vortices near the domain wall, compared to the density in the bulk of the domains, as a function of the distance to the interface for $T=1.3$. In agreement with the trend observed in Fig. 6(a), vortex/charged-wall pairs are clearly favored compared to vortex/vortex pairs in the bulk. Moreover, this effect is robust: the excess density of vortices is sizable in a wide range of temperatures. Overall we anticipate that the same mechanism will prevail near more complex interfaces, such as those obtained in the full Ising-$RP^3$ model at equilibrium.

For completeness, we computed the vortex density $n_\Omega$ (defined as the number of vortex cores on uniform plaquettes divided by the number of such plaquettes) as a function of temperature for different lattice sizes: The increase in the vortex density at the transition temperature [Fig. 7(b)] scales with the system size. This is apparent on the associated susceptibility $dn_\Omega/dT$, whose maximum scales with $L$ like the maximum of the specific heat [Fig. 7(c)]. This is to be compared with the noncritical behavior of the vortex density in...
They are rather scarce in the bulk of Ising domains. Hence, lowered compared to the pure Ising model, the barrier for the formation of a domain wall is considerably localized close to Ising domain walls. As a result, the energy of Ising variables and with the continuous 4D vectors is finite at all temperatures.

Ising transition observed in the present Ising-tors frozen in a ferromagnetic configuration is evidenced by the low transition temperature $T_c=0.97$ for the Ising transition observed in the present Ising-$R^3P^3$ model, compared to $T_c=2.269$ for the Ising model in two dimensions.

### D. Spatial correlations of discrete and continuous fluctuations

Figure 6(a) also reveals that the bonds standing across chiral domain walls ($\sigma_i \sigma_j = -1$) are also the most “disordered” (thickest) ones. This strong correlation is all the more apparent in Fig. 6(b), where only the most disordered highest-energy bonds are represented, defined as $B_{ij} > 1/2$: They are rather scarce in the bulk of Ising domains. Hence, the two kinds of fluctuations (associated with the discrete Ising variables and with the continuous 4D vectors) are both localized close to Ising domain walls. As a result, the energy barrier for the formation of a domain wall is considerably lowered compared to the pure Ising model (with all 4D vectors frozen in a ferromagnetic configuration). This is evidenced by the low transition temperature $T_c=0.97$ for the Ising transition observed in the present Ising-$R^3P^3$ model, compared to $T_c=2.269$ for the Ising model in two dimensions.

### E. Small modifications in the Ising-$R^3P^3$ model and tuning of the nature of the phase transition

In view of the peculiar finite-size first-order-like behavior of the energy distribution shown in Fig. 4, we argue that the Ising-$R^3P^3$ model could be near a tricritical point in some parameter space. This is consistent with the nature of the phase transitions observed in a number of related classical frustrated spin models with similar “content,” i.e., spin waves, $Z_2$ vortices, and Ising-type chiralities.

FIG. 8. (Color online) Scalings as $L^2$ (a) of the maximum of the specific heat and (b) of the chiral susceptibility with increasing system size $L$ for $a=1.75$ in Eq. (12).

The $R^3P^3$ model discussed in Sec. II B, where $dn_{ij}/dT$ remains finite at all temperatures.

In support of our claim, we present two distortions of the original Hamiltonian [Eq. (8)] which preserve the ground-state symmetry and, hence, the nature of the excitations above. Moreover, we show that they undergo a first-order phase transition.

As it turns out, a simple change in the bond energy,

$$E_{ij} = -\sigma_i \sigma_j [4(\vec{v}_i \cdot \vec{v}_j)^2 - a],$$

from $a=1$ in the original Hamiltonian [Eq. (8)] to $a=1.75$, is sufficient to drive the order-disorder transition of the Ising variables toward first order. This can be seen in Fig. 8, where both the maximum of the specific heat [Fig. 8(a)] and that of the chiral susceptibility [Fig. 8(b)] scale as $\sim L^2$. Furthermore, contrary to the Ising-$R^3P^3$ case, the energy probability distribution $P(E, T=T_c)$ remains bimodal for all lattice sizes, with a minimum that gets more and more pronounced upon increasing the system size (not shown).

Increasing $a$ from $a=1$ in Eq. (12) clearly decreases the energy gap for a chirality flip $\sigma_i \rightarrow -\sigma_i$. However, the associated modification of the entropy balance between the ordered and disordered phase is less obvious.

Entropic effects are more explicit and better controlled in the following family of continuous spin models on 2D lattices:

$$E_{ij} = -\sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \left( 1 + \frac{S_i \cdot S_j}{2} \right)^p.$$  

Indeed, it was shown that for large enough $p$ ($p \approx 100$ for $XY$ spins$^{26}$ and $p \approx 16$ for Heisenberg spins$^{29,30}$), these systems undergo a phase transition of the liquid-gas type. Obviously, tuning $p$ does not change the energy scale ($E_{ij} \in [-1, 0]$), but increasing $p$ gradually pushes the entropy toward the highest energies.

Hence we tweak the Ising-$R^3P^3$ model in a similar way, with

$$E_{ij} = -\sigma_i \sigma_j [4(\vec{v}_i \cdot \vec{v}_j)^2p - 1].$$

Once again, Monte Carlo simulations of the distorted model [Eq. (14)] show the signatures of a first-order transition for $p$ as small as $p=2$ (Fig. 9). Overall the previous two models illustrate our claim on the proximity of the Ising transition in the Ising-$R^3P^3$ model with a first-order transition.
ties, the lowest-energy state is attained for orthogonal vectors in the continuous degrees of freedom $E$. This entropy unbalance where rotational invariance was used to fix $\sigma_i \sigma_j = 1$ and disordered bonds $P$.

IV. ENTROPY OF $\sigma_i \sigma_j$ BONDS-POTTS MODEL ANALOGY

Here we take another route and propose a simple qualitative analogy to explain how the coupling of chiralities $\sigma_i$ to the continuous degrees of freedom $\tilde{v}$ drives the Ising transition in the Ising-$R^3$ model close to a first-order transition. To that end we compute the density of states $g(E)$ for a single bond $(i,j)$. It has two contributions, $g(E) = g^s(E) + g^d(E)$, depending on the value of $\epsilon = \sigma_i \sigma_j$:

$$g^s(E) = \int d^3\tilde{v}_i \int d^3\tilde{v}_j \delta(E + \epsilon(4\tilde{v}_i \cdot \tilde{v}_j)^2 - 1),$$

where rotational invariance was used to fix $\tilde{v}_i = [1,0,0,0]$. Explicit evaluation of the integral gives

$$g^s(\epsilon E < 1) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{3 + \epsilon E}{1 - \epsilon E}}.$$  

Figure 10 shows the evolution of $g^s(E)$ with $E$. Interestingly, the density of states is remarkably small in the vicinity of the (ferromagnetic) ground-state value ($\sigma_i \sigma_j = 1$, $\tilde{v}_i \cdot \tilde{v}_j = 1$, and $E = -3$). However, if the two trihedra have opposite chiralities, the lowest-energy state is attained for orthogonal vectors $\tilde{v}_i \cdot \tilde{v}_j = 0$, with energy $E = -1$, and the associated density of states diverges.

This strong entropy unbalance between ordered ($\epsilon = 1$) and disordered bonds ($\epsilon = -1$) is similar to that of the well-known $q$-state Potts models. In this model, each “spin” $\alpha$ can take $q$ different colors, and the interaction energy is $E = 0$ for neighboring sites $(i,j)$ with the same color $\alpha = \sigma_j$ and $E = 1$ otherwise, with the resulting density of states

$$g(0 \leq E \leq 1) = q \delta(E) + q(q - 1) \delta(E - 1).$$

Hence disordered configurations indeed carry more weight than the ferromagnetic ground state. This entropy unbalance is seen to increase with $q$, and in two dimensions it is known to eventually drive the order-disorder transition toward first order for $q > 4$.

A similar feature is obtained upon distorting the Ising-$R^3$ model as in Eq. (14). Indeed, the computation of the density of states $g_p(E)$ for $p = 2$ yields

$$g_p^s(\epsilon E < 1) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2 - \sqrt{1 - \epsilon E}}{(1 - \epsilon E)^{1/4}}}.$$  

which has the same features as in Fig. 10 except that it diverges as $\sim x^{-3/4}$ at $E = 1$, instead of $\sim x$. Hence, the distortion of the bond energy shown in Eq. (14) essentially increases the entropy unbalance, which ultimately drives the Ising transition toward first order (Sec. III E), much in the same way as in the $q$-state Potts model.

To elaborate further on the proximity to a first-order transition, it is useful to recall some results from the real-space renormalization-group (RG) treatment of the $q$-state Potts model. As noted by Niemhuis et al. in two dimensions, conventional RG approaches give accurate results in the critical regime ($q < 4$) but inexplicably fail to predict the crossover to a discontinuous transition for $q = 4$. The authors proposed that it originates from the usual coarse-graining procedure, by which a single Potts spin is assigned to a finite region in real space using a majority rule. Intuitively, this brutal substitution becomes physically questionable when there is no clear majority spin in the domain, a situation that is likely to occur when the number of colors, $q$, is large enough. In particular, it yields the possibility of a ferromagnetic effective interaction between such (artificially) polarized supercells, even if the microscopic spins are disordered. Hence, conventional coarse-graining overestimates the tendency to ferromagnetic order.

In Ref. 32 it is argued that disordered regions interact only weakly with their neighbors; hence they are better coarse grained as a vacancy (missing Potts spin). In support of this intuitive picture, it was shown that once the parameter space of the original Potts Hamiltonian is enlarged to include the fugacity of these vacancies, the real-space RG treatment of the Potts model is able to detect the crossover of the order-disorder transition, seen as a liquid-gas transition of the vacancies.

In Sec. V we discuss a simplified version of the Ising-$R^3$ model where discrete variables $t_i$ are introduced. The latter play a role similar to that of the vacancies in Ref. 32.

V. EFFECTIVE DILUTED ISING MODEL

In this section we propose a simplified model, with strong analogies to Ising-$R^3$ model (8), that captures the spatial correlations evidenced in Fig. 6(b) and where the entropy unbalance discussed above for the Potts model is at play.

We replace the vector degrees of freedom with discrete variables $t_i = 0, 1$, so that the energy becomes

$$E = - \sum_{(i,j)} \sigma_i \sigma_j (4t_i t_j - 1) + D(T) \sum_i t_i.$$  

We introduce a temperature-dependent “chemical potential” $D(T)$ to tune $\langle t_i \rangle$ (hence $\langle t_i t_j \rangle$). The relation with the original model [Eq. (8)] can be understood as follows: A site with $t_i = 1$ represents a vector which is collinear (or almost collinear) with the “majority” of its neighbors. On the other hand, a site with $t_i = 0$ represents a vector which is perpendicular.
THERMAL DESTRUCTION OF CHIRAL ORDER IN A TWO-
DIMENSIONAL VECTOR SPIN GLASS

VI. EFFECTIVE ISING MODEL WITH MULTIPLE-SPIN INTERACTIONS

In this section we detail a more quantitative approach to the Ising-RP3 model, in which the vector spins \( \mathbf{v} \) are integrated out perturbatively in \( \beta = 1/T \), in order to derive an effective Ising model for the chirality degrees of freedom. From this standpoint, multiple-spin interactions between chiralities are directly responsible for the “proximity” to a first-order transition.

A. Integrating out the vector spins

Because the order parameter of the transition is the chirality and because the vector spins never order at \( T > 0 \), it is natural to look for an effective model involving only the chiralities. Moreover, we have shown that the Ising domain walls are accompanied by short-distance rearrangements of the 4D vectors and that it is a very important aspect of the energetics of the system. It is thus natural to expect that a high-temperature expansion for the vector spins (which captures short-distance correlations) will be semiquantitatively valid.

Formally, the integration over the 4D vectors leads to the following energy \( E_{\text{eff}} \) for a configuration \( \{ \sigma_i \} \) of the chiralities:

\[
E_{\text{eff}}(\{ \sigma_i \}) = - T \ln \langle e^{-\beta E(\{ \sigma_i, \mathbf{v}_i \})} \rangle,
\]

where \( E(\{ \sigma_i, \mathbf{v}_i \}) \) is given by Eq. (8) and \( \langle \cdots \rangle \) is the \( T = \infty \) average for each vector spin \( \mathbf{v}_i \in S^3 \) (uniform measure on \( S^3 \times \cdots \times S^3 \)). In the following, we derive the effective interaction of the chiralities by expanding Eq. (19) in powers of \( \beta = 1/T \) up to order \( \beta^2 \).

B. 1/T expansion

\[
\langle e^{-\beta E(\{ \sigma_i, \mathbf{v}_i \})} \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\beta)^n}{n!} \times \sum_{\{i_1,j_1\}} \sum_{\{i_2,j_2\}} \cdots \sum_{\{i_n,j_n\}} \langle E_{i_1,j_1} E_{i_2,j_2} \cdots E_{i_n,j_n} \rangle \times \sigma_{i_1} \sigma_{i_2} \cdots \sigma_{i_n} \sigma_{j_1} \cdots \sigma_{j_n},
\]

with

\[
E_{ij} = 1 - 4(\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_j)^2.
\]

As \( \langle E_{ij} \rangle = 0 \), expanding the logarithm of Eq. (19) in powers of \( \beta \) yields the following cumulant expansion:
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\[ E_{\text{eff}}(\{\sigma_i\}) = -T \left[ \frac{\beta^2}{2} \sum_{\{i,j\}} \sum_{\{j,k\}} C_{ij,jk}^2 \sigma_i \sigma_j \sigma_k \sigma_l + \frac{\beta^3}{3} \sum_{\{i,j\}} \sum_{\{j,k\}} \sum_{\{k,l\}} C_{ij,jk,kl}^3 \sigma_i \sigma_j \sigma_k \sigma_l \sigma_m \sigma_n + \frac{\beta^4}{4} \sum_{\{i,j\}} \sum_{\{j,k\}} \sum_{\{k,l\}} \sum_{\{l,m\}} C_{ij,jk,kl,m}^4 \sigma_i \sigma_j \sigma_k \sigma_l \sigma_m \sigma_n + \mathcal{O}(\beta^5) \right] , \]  

with the cumulants
\[ C_{ij,jk}^2 = \langle E_{ij} \rangle \langle E_{jk} \rangle , \]
\[ C_{ij,jk,kl}^3 = \langle E_{ij} \rangle \langle E_{jk} \rangle \langle E_{kl} \rangle , \]
\[ C_{ij,jk,kl,m}^4 = \langle E_{ij} \rangle \langle E_{jk} \rangle \langle E_{kl} \rangle \langle E_{lm} \rangle - \langle E_{ij} \rangle \langle E_{jk} \rangle \langle E_{kl} \rangle \langle E_{lm} \rangle - \langle E_{ij} \rangle \langle E_{jk} \rangle \langle E_{kl} \rangle \langle E_{lm} \rangle + \langle E_{ij} \rangle \langle E_{jk} \rangle \langle E_{kl} \rangle \langle E_{lm} \rangle , \]

As usual in series expansion, the cumulant \( C^4 \) is nonzero only if the graph defined by the \( n \) bonds \((i,j), (j,k), \ldots, (n,m)\) is connected. Moreover, rotational invariance ensures that only graphs that are one-particle-irreducible contribute. For this reason, \( C_{ij,jk}^2 \) is nonzero only when the two bonds coincide, resulting in a constant contribution (independent of the \( \sigma_j \)) to \( E_{\text{eff}} \). At the next order and for the same reason, the only nonzero \( C^3 \) come from graphs where the three bonds coincide (and \( C_{121212} = 1 \)). This generates an effective first-neighbor Ising interaction proportional to \( 1/T^2 \):

\[ E_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{1}{6T^2} \sum_{\{i,j\}} \sigma_i \sigma_j , \]

\[ C^4 \] only provides a constant contribution to the effective energy. \( C^2 \) and \( C^6 \) terms introduce new two-chirality interactions, between first, second, and third neighbors. Moreover, a \( C^6 \) term gives the first interaction with more than two chiralities, namely,

\[ -\frac{1}{9T^6} \sum_{\{i,j,k,l\}} \sigma_i \sigma_j \sigma_k \sigma_l , \]

where the sum runs over square plaquettes.

At this order in \( \beta \), the Ising-RP \( 3 \) model appears as an Ising model with two- and four-spin interactions. The effect of such multiple-spin interactions has been studied for the three-dimensional (3D) Ising model, where an additional four-spin interaction, if it is large enough, can make the transition first order. 36 This can be understood, at least qualitatively, from a very simple mean-field point of view. Indeed, \( p \)-spin interactions will translate into terms of the order of \( m^p \) in the Landau free energy (\( m \) being the order parameter). Hence it is clear that tuning the strength of multiple-spin (\( \geq 4 \)) interactions can reshape the free-energy landscape and drive the transition from second to first order.

However, various approaches predicted that the simplest four-spin interactions were not enough to obtain a first-order transition in two dimensions. 37–39 To check these predictions, we performed Monte Carlo simulations of a simple Ising model with first-neighbor coupling, supplemented with a four-spin plaquette interaction. 30 The Hamiltonian reads

\[ H = -J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \sigma_i \sigma_j - K \sum_{\{ijkl\}} \sigma_i \sigma_j \sigma_k \sigma_l , \quad (28) \]

with \( J, K > 0 \). Using finite-size scaling analysis, we find that the transition remains second order on the whole range \( 0 \leq K/J \leq 10 \).

This lead us to continue the high-temperature expansion up to order \( \beta^6 \), where a multispin interaction involving six chiralities is generated (with new two- and four-interaction terms). The effective Hamiltonian becomes quite complicated and therefore we resort to a simple mean-field calculation.

### C. Mean-field approximation

The expansion of Eq. (19) up to \( \beta^6 \) leads to a huge number of terms and it is necessary to proceed systematically in order to obtain all the diagrams. In mean field, each chirality is replaced by its mean value \( \langle \sigma_j \rangle = m \), which simplifies the diagrammatic expansion of the effective Hamiltonian. We have written a MAPLE symbolic code that: (i) generates all possible diagrams on the square lattice, (ii) assigns a weight \( m \) to multiply connected vertices with an odd number of bonds, (iii) computes the integrals over the different vectors exactly, and (iv) computes the number of ways. \( N_g \), the graph \( g \) can be located on the lattice. \( N_g \) corresponds to the product of the number of bond ordering and the number of transformations (rotations, reflections, and deformations on the lattice) changing the representation of the graph but not the graph itself. It is also worth noting that graphs free of articulation vertex have zero cumulants. In addition, we discard graphs that give irrelevant constant contributions, i.e., graphs where the multiplicity of each vertex is even. With these prescriptions, only 55 graphs contribute at order \( \beta^6 \). They are listed in Table I.

As a result, we obtain the effective energy in the mean-field approximation:

\[ E_{\text{eff}}(m) = \left( -\frac{\beta^2}{3} - \frac{4}{45} \beta^4 - \frac{2}{9} \beta^6 - \frac{391}{4536} \beta^8 - \frac{\beta^7}{81} + \frac{5173}{145800} \beta^9 \right) m^2 + \left( -\frac{\beta^2}{18} - \frac{4}{162} \beta^4 - \frac{2}{27} \beta^6 \right) m^4 + \left( -\frac{\beta^2}{81} \right) m^6 . \quad (29) \]

Hence the mean-field free energy is given by \( F(m) = E(m) - TS(m) \), where the entropy \( S(m) = -\frac{1}{3} m \ln \left( \frac{1+2m}{3} \right) - \frac{1}{3} m \ln \left( \frac{1-m}{2} \right) \).

\( F(m) \) is minimized with respect to the magnetization \( m \): A phase transition occurs at \( T = 1.07 \) between an ordered phase \( m \neq 0 \) and a paramagnetic phase \( m = 0 \). Further, the transition
TABLE I. List of all diagrams contributing to the high-temperature series expansion up to order \( \beta^8 \). All vertices with an odd number of lines correspond to a \( \sigma_i \) in the effective Ising model and to an \( m \) in the mean-field approximation. \( N_g \) is the number of locations of the graph \( g \) on the lattice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( g )</th>
<th>( N_g )</th>
<th>( g )</th>
<th>( N_g )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \ominus )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>( \ominus )</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>725760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td>12096</td>
<td>241920</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>720</td>
<td>6048</td>
<td>181440</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360</td>
<td>20160</td>
<td>725760</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20080</td>
<td>725760</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>840</td>
<td>40320</td>
<td>362880</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3360</td>
<td>20160</td>
<td>544320</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1680</td>
<td>30240</td>
<td>1088640</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2520</td>
<td>15120</td>
<td>181440</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10080</td>
<td>30240</td>
<td>5806080</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30240</td>
<td>120960</td>
<td>2903040</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6720</td>
<td>362880</td>
<td>2903040</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3360</td>
<td>725760</td>
<td>1451520</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13440</td>
<td>483840</td>
<td>1451520</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6720</td>
<td>725760</td>
<td>1451520</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161280</td>
<td>362880</td>
<td>1451520</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80640</td>
<td>362880</td>
<td>1451520</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120960</td>
<td>181440</td>
<td>120960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As can be seen in Fig. 12, the first-order transition in this model is much stronger than in the \( p=1 \) case, i.e., \( \langle \Delta \beta \rangle_{p=2} \approx \langle \Delta \beta \rangle_{p=1} \). We also mention that similar conclusions can be made for model (12) with \( a=1.75 \). Once again, these results support our claim that the Ising-\( RP^3 \) model is close to a point in parameter space where the transition changes from first to second order, in qualitative agreement with the simulation results.

VII. CONCLUSION

We have proposed a minimal Ising-\( RP^3 \) model that captures most of the low-energy features of frustrated Heisenberg models with an O(3) manifold of ground states. The discrete symmetry breaking associated with the existence of two connected components of O(3) leads to an Ising-type continuous transition with unconventional features at small sizes. The complexity of this transition is due to the strong coupling of the Ising chirality fluctuations to short-range continuous spin fluctuations and to the topological defects of these textures.

We provided a consistent picture of these \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) defects in the presence of chiral fluctuations. Namely, we showed that chiral domain walls can also carry a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) topological charge, albeit delocalized over the interface. Inspection of configurations revealed that isolated vortex cores are almost always located nearby charged domain walls. At the transition temperature, most defects consist in vortex/charged-wall pairs, while there are a few vortex/vortex pairs and almost no isolated defect. This mechanism is essential in understanding the appearance of point defects at a temperature much lower than in the case where chiral fluctuations are absent.
We also studied some variants of the model and showed that the continuous transition easily becomes first order, which lead us to conjecture the existence of a nearby tricritical point in parameter space. We clarified the role of short-range fluctuations of the continuous variables in this mechanism by an analogy with the large $q$-state Potts model. In this analogy the high density of states with orthogonal 4D vectors translates into the large number $g(q-1)$ of states with disordered bonds of the Potts model. Finally we studied two derived versions of the original model: (i) a diluted Ising model, which somehow corresponds to a coarse-grained version of the Ising-$R^3$ model (the continuous variables are locally averaged and replaced by discrete variables); and (ii) an effective multispin Ising model, obtained by tracing out the vector spins, order by order in $\beta$ (up to $\beta^3$). These two models predict either a weakly first-order or a continuous transition, as well as the existence of a tricritical point.

This study sheds light on the large variety of behaviors reported for chiral phase transitions in frustrated spin systems.\cite{Messio2008a,Messio2008b,Messio2008c} In all these models, the chirality is an emergent variable more or less coupled to short-range spin fluctuations: In the $J_1-J_2$ model on the square lattice, the chiral variable is the pitch of a helix, the coupling to the short-range spin fluctuations is probably small, and the transition appears to be clearly second order and in the Ising universality class.\cite{Messio2008b} In the cyclic four-spin exchange model on the triangular lattice, the order parameter is a tetrahedron and the chiral variable is associated with the triple product of three of these four spins: The transition is probably very weakly first order.\cite{Messio2008a,Messio2008c} In the $J_1-J_2$ model on the kagome lattice, the order parameter at $T=0$ is a cuboctahedron, and the chiral variable is associated with the triple product of three of these twelve spins. The phase transition evolves from weakly to strongly first order when tuning the parameters toward a ferromagnetic-antiferromagnetic phase boundary at $T=0$: This can be understood in the light of the present work. Tuning the parameters toward the ferromagnetic phase frustrates the 12-sublattice Néel order and favors short-range disorder and vortex formation. The associated increase in the entropy unbalance drives the transition from Ising to strongly first order, much in the same way as in Sec. IV. On the technical side, this proximity of a tricritical point in parameter space evidences why simulations and experiences must be lead with great caution, a conclusion equally supported by the density of states with disordered bonds of the Potts model. Finally we studied two derived versions of the original model: (i) a diluted Ising model, which somehow corresponds to a coarse-grained version of the Ising-$R^3$ model (the continuous variables are locally averaged and replaced by discrete variables); and (ii) an effective multispin Ising model, obtained by tracing out the vector spins, order by order in $\beta$ (up to $\beta^3$). These two models predict either a weakly first-order or a continuous transition, as well as the existence of a tricritical point.

This study sheds light on the large variety of behaviors reported for chiral phase transitions in frustrated spin systems.\cite{Messio2008a,Messio2008b,Messio2008c} In all these models, the chirality is an emergent variable more or less coupled to short-range spin fluctuations: In the $J_1-J_2$ model on the square lattice, the chiral variable is the pitch of a helix, the coupling to the short-range spin fluctuations is probably small, and the transition appears to be clearly second order and in the Ising universality class.\cite{Messio2008b} In the cyclic four-spin exchange model on the triangular lattice, the order parameter is a tetrahedron and the chiral variable is associated with the triple product of three of these four spins: The transition is probably very weakly first order.\cite{Messio2008a,Messio2008c} In the $J_1-J_2$ model on the kagome lattice, the order parameter at $T=0$ is a cuboctahedron, and the chiral variable is associated with the triple product of three of these twelve spins. The phase transition evolves from weakly to strongly first order when tuning the parameters toward a ferromagnetic-antiferromagnetic phase boundary at $T=0$: This can be understood in the light of the present work. Tuning the parameters toward the ferromagnetic phase frustrates the 12-sublattice Néel order and favors short-range disorder and vortex formation. The associated increase in the entropy unbalance drives the transition from Ising to strongly first order, much in the same way as in Sec. IV. On the technical side, this proximity of a tricritical point in parameter space evidences why simulations and experiences must be lead with great caution, a conclusion equally supported by recent work from the quite different standpoint of the non-perturbative renormalization-group approach.\cite{Messio2008c}
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**APPENDIX: MONTE CARLO ALGORITHM**

In this section we detail the Wang-Landau algorithm\cite{Wang1996,Wang1999} used to simulate model (2). This method consists in building the density of states $g(E)$ progressively, using successive Monte Carlo iterations. Elementary moves consist of rotations of vectors $\vec{v}$, as well as flips of chiralities $\sigma$. In the case where the chiralities are frozen (Sec. II B), only the rotation movements are performed. For completeness we mention that the four vectors $\vec{v}$ are sampled uniformly on $S^3$ using three random numbers $(r, \eta, \nu)$, independent and uniformly distributed in $[0,1]$, according to

$$v_1 = \begin{cases} \sqrt{r} \cos(2\pi \eta) \\ \sqrt{r} \sin(2\pi \eta) \\ 1 - r \cos(2\pi \nu) \\ 1 - r \sin(2\pi \nu). \end{cases}$$  \hfill (A1)

Starting from an initial guess $g(E)$, the acceptance of a trial flip/rotation is decided by a Metropolis rule,

$$\Pi(o \rightarrow n) = \min\left(1, \frac{g(E_o)}{g(E_n)}\right),$$  \hfill (A2)

where the subscripts $o$ and $n$ corresponds the old and new configurations, respectively.

Every time a configuration with energy $E$ is visited, the density of states $g(E)$ is multiplied by a factor $f > 1$, $g(E) \leftarrow g(E)f$. To ensure that all configurations are well sampled, a histogram $H(E)$ accumulates all visited states. The first part of the run is stopped when $H(E) > 10^5$. In the second part, the histogram $H(E)$ is reset and the run is continued, but the modification factor $f$ is now decreased to $f_1 < f$. In the original paper by Wang,\cite{Wang1996} $f_1$ was taken as $\sqrt{f}$, but this choice is not necessarily the best for continuous models.\cite{Wang1999} In this case the convergence properties were found to be quite satisfying upon choosing $f_1 = f^{0.7}$. The random walk is continued until the histogram of visits $H(E)$ has become “flat.” Once again, $H(E)$ is reset and the modification factor is decreased to $f_2 < f_1$, etc. Accurate density of states $g_n(E)$ is generally obtained at the $n$th iteration, where $n$ is such that $f_n$ is almost 1 (typically $f_n - 1 \approx 10^{-8}$).

Since this model has continuous variables, its energy spectrum is also continuous, and the choice of the energy bin requires special care: If the bin is too large, important details of the spectrum may be lost, whereas if it is too small, a lot of computer time is wasted to ensure the convergence of the method. In the temperature range of interest, a size of order $\approx 0.1$ is a good compromise for the model of Eq. (8). In addition, the energy range is limited to the region relevant at the transition and yields all thermodynamic quantities accurately at these temperatures.

Once the density of states $g(E)$ is obtained, all moments of the energy distribution can be computed in a straightforward way as

$$\langle E^n \rangle = \frac{\int g(E) E^n \exp(-\beta E) \, dE}{\int g(E) \exp(-\beta E) \, dE},$$  \hfill (A3)

from which the specific heat per site is readily obtained:
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\[ C_v = \frac{1}{N}[\langle E^2 \rangle - \langle E \rangle^2]. \]  

(A4)

For the thermodynamic quantities that are not directly related to the moments of the energy distribution, such as the chirality, the vorticity, and their associated susceptibilities, or the Binder cumulants, we proceed as follows: An additional simulation is performed where \( g(E) \) is no longer modified [a "perfect" random walk in energy space if the density of states \( g(E) \) is very accurate]. In this last run additional histograms are stored: chirality histograms \( \sigma(E) \), \( \sigma^2(E) \), and \( \sigma^4(E) \) and vorticity histograms \( \nu(E) \), \( \nu^2(E) \), and \( \nu^4(E) \).

Chirality (or vorticity) moments are then obtained from the simple one-dimensional (1D) integration

\[
\langle M^j \rangle = \frac{\int g(E) M^j(E) \exp(-\beta E) \, dE}{\int g(E) \exp(-\beta E) \, dE},
\]

(A5)

from which, say, the Binder cumulant, is readily obtained as

\[ U = 1 - \frac{\langle M^4 \rangle}{3\langle (M^2)^2 \rangle}, \]

(A6)

where \( M = \sigma \) or \( V \). Contrary to the method of the joint density of states,\(^{45}\) our method does not require the construction of the two-dimensional histogram \( g(E, \sigma) \). Hence it is not limited to modest lattice sizes (the above quantities are computed for \( L \) up to \( L=80 \)).

---

11. This model does not encompass the breathing modes of the trihedra that are present in the fully frustrated spin systems: We suspect that these modes would not change qualitatively the present picture.
12. \( \mathbb{R}P^3 \) is the real projective space. It is formed by taking the quotient of \( \mathbb{R}^{e+1} \setminus \{0\} \) under the relation of equivalence \( x \sim \lambda x \) for all real numbers \( \lambda \neq 0 \) or, equivalently, by identifying antipodal points of the unit sphere \( S^e \) in \( \mathbb{R}^{e+1} \). \( \mathbb{R}P^3 \) was introduced in the context of liquid crystals (Ref. 46) (see also Refs. 13 and 47).
26. Overall our simulations show no obvious signature of a vortex unbinding transition. However, more conclusive arguments require the computation of more vortex-sensitive quantities, such as the spin stiffness (Ref. 24), or area versus perimeter scaling laws (Ref. 14), which are numerically very demanding.
33. In the Ising-\( \mathbb{R}P^3 \) model, domain walls separate regions with \( \sigma = +1 \) from those with \( \sigma = -1 \). Figure 10 shows that ferromagnetic configurations of the vectors are favored in the bulk of chirality domains. On the other hand, orthogonal configurations are favored on bonds that stand across domain walls. Hence the lowest-energy configuration for the vectors is to point in direction, say, \([1,0,0,0]\) in the \( \sigma = +1 \) domain, and in some orthogonal direction, say \([0,1,0,0]\) in the \( \sigma = -1 \) domain. An analog low-energy configuration in the discrete model of Eq. (18) is obtained by setting \( t_1 = 1 \) in the bulk of both domains and \( t_2 = 0 \) in the vicinity of the domain wall.
35. The Hamiltonian of the Blume-Capel model (Ref. 34) is \( H = -J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} S_i S_j + \Sigma_S_i \), where \( S_i \) denotes a spin 1 at site \( i \), \( J \) is the ferromagnetic interaction, and \( \Delta \) is the crystal field. The Blume-Capel model and the site-diluted spin model [Eq. (18)] become equivalent once the \( -1 \) contribution is dropped in Eq. (18) and \( D(T) = \Delta - T \ln(2) \).


40. Although the two-spin interactions appearing in $E_{\text{eff}}$ up to order $T^{-6}$ are not strictly limited to first neighbors (see the graphs in Table I).


